

DEVON COUNTY WASTE LOCAL PLAN

SCHEDULE OF OMISSION SITES

INITIAL RESPONSE OF DEVON COUNTY COUNCIL

Introduction

In the light of advice from the Planning Inspectorate concerning the absence of a modifications stage from the 'transitional' development plan arrangements, Devon County Council published a Schedule of Omission Sites on 10 November 2005 for public consultation until 23 December 2005. This Schedule contains details of sites that were proposed by objectors in response to the First Deposit and/or Re-Deposit Versions of the Devon County Waste Local Plan and which consist of the following categories:

- new sites for waste management facilities not presently contained in the Waste Local Plan;
- alterations to the boundaries of a site presently proposed in the Waste Local Plan; and
- the identification of additional facilities at a site proposed in the Waste Local Plan.

The Schedule included a note to the effect that inclusion of an Omission Site should not be construed as implying that the County Council supports the proposals put forward by objectors.

At the Waste Local Plan Pre-Inquiry Meeting held on 31 October 2005, the Inspector requested that Devon County Council should make its position known to objectors on each of the Omission Sites in advance of the deadlines for the submission of proofs of evidence and written statements for the Local Plan Inquiry.

The table below therefore sets out the position that Devon County Council proposes to adopt at the Inquiry in respect of each of the Omission Sites on the basis of the evidence provided so far. However, the County Council reserves the right to present further evidence to the Inquiry in elaboration of the brief comments made in the table.

Site Ref. No.	Site Name	Local Plan Ref. (where applicable)	Position and comments of Devon County Council
OM1	Broadpath, Uffculme	MD05	Oppose the extension of the boundary of the Proposed Site as the boundary shown in Inset Plan MD05 in the Re-Deposit Version is considered to be adequate for the range of facilities identified in the Plan and the anticipated capacity required for the Plan period
OM2	Challonsleigh, Lee Mill, Ivybridge	SH01	Oppose the inclusion of a Recycling Centre and mixed waste composting as the current extent of the site would be inadequate and the current access arrangements are not of an appropriate standard
OM3	Dawlish Skip Hire Ltd, 25 Dawlish Business Park, Dawlish	TE12	Support the extension of the site as proposed in the objection and the inclusion of a MRF and inert waste recycling, subject to the latter being ancillary to the waste transfer and MRF activities, and the identification of the site as a 'Proposed Site for Minor Waste Management Facilities' Oppose the identification of the site for the management of hazardous waste as it is not located close to a major source of such waste or in a strategic location to manage hazardous waste
OM4	Greendale Barton, Woodbury Salterton	ED06	Oppose the extension of the Proposed Site shown in Inset Plan ED06 of the Re-Deposit Version as that area is considered to be adequate for the range of facilities identified in the Waste Local Plan, but amend the northern boundary to reflect the current extent of the developed area Oppose the identification of the inert landfill site as land with planning permission, as that permission is considered to have lapsed
OM5	Holmacott, Instow	ND21	Support the proposed additional facilities subject to the waste to energy facility being limited to plant of a small scale for the treatment of residual waste from the operations already permitted for the site
OM6	John Acres Lane, Kingsteignton		Support the inclusion of the proposed areas within Inset Plan TE13 for the accommodation of the types of facility already identified for the Heathfield site, together with the addition of metals recycling

OM7	Langage Industrial Estate, Plympton, Plymouth		Oppose the inclusion of this site as a strategic waste management facility as such a facility should be located within the Principal Urban Area rather than outside. In the event that development of a power station at Langage occurs, it is suggested that the merit of developing an energy from waste thermal treatment facility in close proximity be considered in the subsequent preparation of the County Council's Waste Development Framework
OM8	Lapthorne Cross, Dittisham	SH10	Oppose the proposed inclusion of a small energy from waste facility due to the limited size of the site, the visual impact, and its proximity to housing
OM9	Marlands, Totnes	SH12	Support the inclusion of waste transfer as a potential facility
OM10	Punchbowl, Crediton	MD14	Oppose identification of mixed waste composting as a potential facility due to the poor standard of access and the site's lack of proximity to major sources of waste
OM11	Ruby Farm, Abbotskerswell	TE19	Support the inclusion of potential for the recovery of energy from the existing wood burning incinerator
OM12	Trood Lane, Matford, Exeter	TE23	Support the proposed waste to energy facility subject to it being limited to plant of a small scale for the treatment of residual waste from the operations already permitted for the site
OM13	Whitecleaves Quarry, Buckfastleigh		Oppose the proposed new site for inert landfill and recycling due to the distance of the site from major sources of construction and demolition waste and the availability of adequate existing facilities for the recycling of this waste stream
OM14	Wilminstone Quarry, Tavistock		Oppose the use of the site for inert or biodegradable landfilling due to the likely adverse environmental impacts, the distance of the site from potential sources of waste, and the adequate provision for landfill capacity within the Plan for the Plan period