

BOUNDARY COMMITTEE REVIEW OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT STRUCTURES IN
NORFOLK SUFFOLK AND DEVON

**REVIEW TO TEST WHETHER AFFORDABILITY CRITERIA
ARE MET**

Certification by S 151 officer

Title of Unitary Submission

Devon Unitary

'I have provided base data as required relating to my authority for the completion of the *specified* data collection workbook, and I have checked the base data already included in that workbook, and I am satisfied that these have been properly incorporated.

I have been consulted on relevant issues in the calculation of the figures for the transitional plan shown in the data collection workbook where they affect my authority, and find the figures to be not unreasonable.'

(If the figures were found to be unreasonable, then a comment as to which figures and why should be provided in the box below)

I wish to record the following concerns:

1. **The base line.** The use of the estimate 07/08 as the baseline introduces into the model out of date information, and will undermine the accuracy of the calculations of transitional costs. For example Councils will have delivered efficiency savings (3% each year from 07/08); this will inevitably include management and support services reductions. This may result in some of the projected staff reductions being unrealistic and unachievable.
2. **The savings on information technology.** These savings appear over ambitious, both within the 4 year period (2010/11 – 2013/14) and year 5 onward. In particular, reduction of 61 FTE's within this period of significant change and disruption appears unrealistic.

Certification by.... *Mark Seymour*

S151 Officer for South Hams District Council.....

Email address mark.seymour@southhams.gov.uk.....

Date 12th September 2008.....

BOUNDARY COMMITTEE REVIEW OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT STRUCTURES IN
NORFOLK SUFFOLK AND DEVON

**REVIEW TO TEST WHETHER AFFORDABILITY CRITERIA
ARE MET**

Certification by S 151 officer

Title of Unitary Submission

Two Unitary Devon

'I have provided base data as required relating to my authority for the completion of the *specified* data collection workbook, and I have checked the base data already included in that workbook, and I am satisfied that these have been properly incorporated.

I have been consulted on relevant issues in the calculation of the figures for the transitional plan shown in the data collection workbook where they affect my authority, and find the figures to be not unreasonable.'

(If the figures were found to be unreasonable, then a comment as to which figures and why should be provided in the box below)

I wish to record the following concerns:

1. **The base line.** The use of the estimate 07/08 as the baseline introduces into the model out of date information, and will undermine the accuracy of the calculations of transitional costs. For example Councils will have delivered efficiency savings (3% each year from 07/08); this will inevitably include management and support services reductions. This may result in some of the projected staff reductions being unrealistic and unachievable.
2. **The savings on information technology.** These savings appear over ambitious, both within the 4 year period (2010/11 – 2013/14) and year 5 onward. In particular, reduction of 61 FTE's within this period of significant change and disruption appears unrealistic.

Certification by..... *MR. Seymour*

S151 Officer for South Hams District Council.....

Email address mark.seymour@southhams.gov.uk.....

Date 12th September 2008.....